Introduction to Nuclear Fusion as An Energy Source



Institute of Space and Plasma Sciences, National Cheng Kung University

Lecture 8

2024 spring semester

Wednesday 9:10-12:00

Materials:

https://capst.ncku.edu.tw/PGS/index.php/teaching/

Online courses:

https://nckucc.webex.com/nckucc/j.php?MTID=ma76b50f97b1c6d72db61de 9eaa9f0b27

2024/5/1 updated 1

Course Outline



- Magnetic confinement fusion (MCF)
 - Gyro motion, MHD
 - 1D equilibrium (z pinch, theta pinch)
 - Drift: ExB drift, grad B drift, and curvature B drift
 - Tokamak, Stellarator (toroidal field, poloidal field)
 - Magnetic flux surface
 - 2D axisymmetric equilibrium of a torus plasma: Grad-Shafranov equation.
 - Stability (Kink instability, sausage instability, Safety factor Q)
 - Central-solenoid (CS) start-up (discharge) and current drive
 - CS-free current drive: electron cyclotron current drive, bootstrap current.
 - Auxiliary Heating: ECRH, Ohmic heating, Neutral beam injection.

Example of the analytical solution of the Grad-Shafranov equation



3

Magnetically confined toroidal equilibrium



- 1. Radial pressure balance in the poloidal plan needs to be provided so that the pressure contours form closed nested surfaces. Both toroidal and poloidal fields can readily accomplish this task.
- 2. The radially outward expansion force inherent in all toroidal geometries needs to be balanced without sacrificing stability.
- Forces associated with toroidal force balance are usually than those corresponding to radial pressure balance. However, they are more difficult to compensate.



Toroidal configuration with a purely poloidal magnetic field



The outward force can be compensated by either a perfectly conducting shell or externally applied vertical field

Externally applied vertical field

Perfectly conducting shell



- With a finite conductivity wall, flux can only remain compressed for about a skin time.
- This configuration develops disastrous MHD instabilities (z pinch).

Toroidal configuration with a purely toroidal magnetic field, stable but NOT balanced



Coils in a tokamak



- Toroidal field coils (in poloidal direction) generate toroidal field for confinement.
- Poloidal field coils generate vertical field for plasma positioning and shaping.
- Central solenoid for breakdown and generating plasma current (in toroidal direction) and thus generating poloidal field for confinement.

Plasma condition can be obtained by solving Grad-Shafranov equation

$$\Delta^* \psi = -\mu_0 R^2 \frac{dp}{d\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dF^2}{d\psi} \qquad \text{where } \Delta^* \psi = R^2 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{R^2}\right)$$

- The usual strategy to solve the Grad-Shafranov equation:
 - 1. Specify two free functions, the plasma pressure $p = p(\psi)$ and the toroidal field function $F = F(\psi)$.
 - 2. Solve the equation with specified boundary conditions to determine the flux function $\psi(R, z)$.
 - 3. Calculation the magnetic field using the following equations:

$$B_{\rm R} = -\frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z}$$
 $B_{\phi} = \frac{F(\psi)}{R}$ $B_{\rm z} = \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial R}$

4. The pressure profile can then be obtained from $p = p(\psi(R, z))$.

Application of solving Grad-Shafranov equation for designing a tokamak



- Given I_{plasma} , $p(\psi)$, $I(\psi)$, I_{coils} , free boundary of plasma, perfect conductor as the chamber.
- Given I_{plasma} , $p(\psi)$, $I(\psi)$, I_{coils} , free boundary of plasma, insulator chamber.
- Given I_{plasma} , $p(\psi)$, $I(\psi)$, I_{coils} , free boundary of plasma, chamber with eddy current.
- Given I_{plasma} , $p(\psi)$, $I(\psi)$, fixed boundary of plasma. Then, use I_{coils} , free boundary of plasma and match the plasma shape calculated in the fixed boundary condition.

$$\Delta^* \psi = -\mu_0 R^2 \frac{dp}{d\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dF^2}{d\psi} \quad \text{where } \Delta^* \psi = R^2 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{R^2}\right)$$
$$I_P = -2\pi F(\psi)$$
$$\mu_0 \overrightarrow{j} = \left(\frac{\nabla F}{R}\right) \times \widehat{\phi} + \left(-\frac{1}{R}\Delta^* \psi\right) \widehat{\phi} \quad \overrightarrow{B} = \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{R}\right) \times \widehat{\phi} + \frac{F(\psi)}{R} \widehat{\phi}$$

Application of solving Grad-Shafranov equation for reconstructing a tokamak equilibrium state

- Measure
 - boundary conditions, including ψ , *B*, etc., on the wall (using flux loop and B-dot probe).
 - Pressure.
 - Plasma current (using Rogowski coil).
- Reconstruct $\psi(r,z)$, j, $p(\psi)$, $l(\psi)$, etc.

$$\Delta^* \psi = -\mu_0 R^2 \frac{dp}{d\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{dF^2}{d\psi} \quad \text{where } \Delta^* \psi = R^2 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{R^2}\right)$$
$$I_P = -2\pi F(\psi)$$
$$\mu_0 \overrightarrow{j} = \left(\frac{\nabla F}{R}\right) \times \widehat{\phi} + \left(-\frac{1}{R}\Delta^* \psi\right) \widehat{\phi} \quad \overrightarrow{B} = \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{R}\right) \times \widehat{\phi} + \frac{F(\psi)}{R} \widehat{\phi}$$

Fluxes and currents





 $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{p}} = \int \boldsymbol{\overline{B}} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \; \boldsymbol{\overline{S}}_{\mathbf{p}}$

$$\psi_{\rm t} = \int \vec{B} \cdot d \vec{S}_{\rm t}$$

$$T_{\rm p} = \int \vec{j} \cdot d \vec{S}_{\rm p}$$

Toroidal current: $I_{t} = \int \vec{j} \cdot d \vec{S}_{t}$

Normalized plasma pressure, β



Different poloidal shapes



Safety factor

A CONCEPTION ROAD

• Kink Safety Factor:

$$q^*(r) = \frac{aB_o}{R_o B_p} = \frac{2\pi a^2 \kappa B_o}{\mu_o R_o I_o}$$





$$q(r) = \frac{rB_z(r)}{R_o B_\theta(r)}$$

Safety factor



C. C. Baker, et al, Nuclear Technology/Fusion, 1, 5 (1981)

Magnetic well

$$\widehat{W} = 2 rac{V}{\langle B^2
angle} rac{d}{dV} \left\langle rac{B^2}{2}
ight
angle$$
 $= 2 rac{V}{\langle B^2
angle} rac{d}{dV} \left\langle \mu_o p + rac{B^2}{2}
ight
angle$



EQUILIBRIUM WITH LINEAR STABILITY AND NONLINEAR INSTABILITY

LINEAR INSTABILITY AND NONLINEAR STABILITY

EQUILIBRIUM WITH

Variational formulation for checking stabilization

- $\vec{j}_{o} \times \vec{B}_{o} = \nabla p_{o}$ Equilibrium state:
- Momentum eq: $\rho_{\rm m} \left[\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} \right] = \vec{j} \times \vec{B} \nabla \cdot \overleftrightarrow{P}$ $\rho_{\rm m} = \rho_{\rm o} + \widetilde{\rho}_{\rm 1} \qquad p = p_{\rm o} + \widetilde{p}_{\rm 1} \qquad \vec{v} = \vec{v}_{\rm o} + \vec{\widetilde{v}}_{\rm 1} = \vec{\widetilde{v}}_{\rm 1} \equiv \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}$ •
 - $\vec{j} = \vec{j}_{0} + \vec{j}_{1}$ $\vec{B} = \vec{B}_{0} + \vec{B}_{1} \equiv \vec{B}_{0} + \vec{Q}$





 $\rho_m \frac{\partial^2 \,\overline{\xi}}{\partial t^2} = \overline{F}\left(\overline{\xi}\right)$ $\vec{F}(\vec{\xi}) = \vec{j}_{o} \times \vec{Q} + \vec{j}_{1} \times \vec{B}_{o} - \nabla \widetilde{p}_{1}$

$$\overrightarrow{F}\left(\overrightarrow{\xi}\right) = \frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\nabla \times \overrightarrow{B}_{0}\right) \times \overrightarrow{Q} + \frac{1}{\mu_{0}}\left(\nabla \times \overrightarrow{Q}\right) \times \overrightarrow{B}_{0} + \nabla\left(\overrightarrow{\xi} \cdot \nabla p + \gamma p \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{\xi}\right)$$

The change in potential energy associated with the perturbation: $\delta W = -\frac{1}{2} \int \vec{\xi}^* \cdot \vec{F}(\vec{\xi}) d\vec{r} \quad \bullet \text{ Stable requirement: } \delta W \ge 0$ $\delta W_{F} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} \left[\frac{\left| \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right|^{2}}{\mu_{o}} + \frac{B^{2}}{\mu_{o}} \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp} + 2\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\kappa} \right|^{2} + \gamma p \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} \right|^{2} -2(\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p) \left(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*} \right) - J_{\parallel} \left(\vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*} \times \vec{b} \right) \cdot \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right]$

Variational formulation for checking stabilization

- The change in potential energy associated with the perturbation: $\delta W = -\frac{1}{2} \int \vec{\xi}^* \cdot \vec{F} (\vec{\xi}) d\vec{r} \quad \bullet \text{ Stable requirement: } \delta W \ge 0$ $\delta W_F = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} \left[\frac{\left| \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right|^2}{\mu_0} + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp} + 2\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\kappa} \right|^2 + \gamma p \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} \right|^2$ $-2(\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p) \left(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp}^* \right) - J_{\parallel} \left(\vec{\xi}_{\perp}^* \times \vec{b} \right) \cdot \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right]$ Stable requirement: $\delta W \ge 0$
- Stabilization terms:

 $\frac{\left|\vec{Q}_{\perp}\right|^{2}}{\mu_{o}}$: For bending magnetic field lines, (shear Alfvén wave).

 $\frac{B^2}{\mu_o} \left(\left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp} + 2 \vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\kappa} \right| \right)^2$: For compressing the magnetic field, (compressional Alfvén wave).

 $\gamma p \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} \right|^2$: For compressing the plasma, (sound wave).

• Destabilization terms: $-2(\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p)(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*}) - J_{\parallel}(\vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*} \times \vec{b}) \cdot \vec{Q}_{\perp}$

n

UNSTABLE EQUILIBRIUM

Alfvén waves

Shear Alfvén wave:



 Longitudinal sound wave (the slow magnetosonic wave)





 The fast magnetosonic wave (Compressional Alfvén wave):



Alfvén waves



 The slow magnetosonic wave (Shear + Compressional Alfvén wave):





 The fast magnetosonic wave (Compressional Alfvén wave):



Classification of MHD instabilities



- Locations:
 - Internal/Fixed boundary modes: mode structure does not require any motion of the plasma-vacuum interface away from its equilibrium position.
 - External/Free-boundary modes: the plasma-vacuum interface moves from its equilibrium position during an unstable MHD perturbation.
- Dominant destabilizing term
 - Pressure-driven modes: the dominant destabilizing term is the one proportional to ∇p.
 - Current-driven modes: the dominant destabilizing term is the one proportional to J_{\parallel} .

$$\delta W_{F} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} \left[\frac{\left| \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right|^{2}}{\mu_{o}} + \frac{B^{2}}{\mu_{o}} \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp} + 2\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{\kappa} \right|^{2} + \gamma p \left| \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} \right|^{2} -2(\vec{\xi}_{\perp} \cdot \nabla p) \left(\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*} \right) - J_{\parallel} \left(\vec{\xi}_{\perp}^{*} \times \vec{b} \right) \cdot \vec{Q}_{\perp} \right]$$

Classification of MHD instabilities



- Locations:
 - Internal/Fixed boundary modes: mode structure does not require any motion of the plasma-vacuum interface away from its equilibrium position.
 - External/Free-boundary modes: the plasma-vacuum interface moves from its equilibrium position during an unstable MHD perturbation.
- Dominant destabilizing term
 - Current-driven modes, e.g., kink instability, sausage instability.
 - Pressure-driven modes, e.g., interchange mode, ballooning mode.

External mode vs internal mode



Predicted behaviors of the plasma in ITER



https://www.iter.org/newsline/-/3401

Current-driven instability



Kink instabilities in the lab



S. C. Hsu, P. M. Bellan, Phys. Plasma 12, 032103 (2005)

Kink instabilities in space



T Török et al, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 56, 064012 (2014)

Kink instability in Tokamak

Pressure driven instability – interchange perturbations

• Unstable: bad curvature $\vec{R}_c \cdot \nabla p < 0$

stable: good curvature $\vec{R}_c \cdot \nabla p > 0$

Rayleigh-Taylor instability

Rayleigh-Taylor instability

gravity

Kelvin-Helmholtz instability •

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rayleigh%E2%80%93Taylor_instability https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kelvin%E2%80%93Helmholtz_instability Xie Lei et al, Energy Report 7, 2262 (2021)

Pressure driven instability – interchange perturbations

• Mercier criterion for tokamak:

$$D = -\mu_o \frac{2r}{B^2} \frac{1}{s^2} \frac{dp}{dr} (1-q^2) < \frac{1}{4}$$

The Spherical tokamak

32

The Spherical tokamak

- Aspect ratio R_o/a ~ 1.6
- Advantages:
 - Higher β_t limit.
 - A compact design almost spherical in appearance.
- Challenges:
 - Minimum space is given in the center of the torus to accommodate the toroidal field coils.
 - With a very compact design the technology associated with the construction and maintenance of the device may be more difficult than for a "normal" tokamak.
 - Large currents will have to be driven noninductively, a costly and physically difficult requirement.

Limiter protects the vacuum chamber from plasma bombardment and defines the edge of the plasma

Limiter protects the vacuum chamber from plasma bombardment and defines the edge of the plasma

- A mechanical limiter is a robust piece of material, often made of tungsten, molybdenum, or graphite placed inside the vacuum chamber.
- Some of the particles of the limiter surface may escape. Neutral particles can penetrate some distance into the plasma before being ionized.
- The high-z impurities can lead to significant additional energy loss in the plasma through radiation.
- In ignition experiments and fusion reactors, the bombardment is more intense and extends over longer periods of time. In addition, if the impurity level is too high, it may not be possible to achieve a high enough temperature to ignite.

The magnetic divertor – guide a narrower layer of magnetic lines away from the edge of the plasma

Pros and cons of a divertor

- Advantages:
 - The collector plate is remote from the plasma. There is space available to spread out the magnetic lines.
 - A lower intensity of particles and energy bombard the collector plate leading to a longer replacement time.
 - It is more difficult for impurities to migrate into the plasma.
 - There are longer distance distances to travel and if a neutral particle becomes ionized before or during the time it crosses the divertor layer on its way toward the plasma, its parallel motion then carries it back to the collector plate.
 - The larger divertor chamber provides more access to pump out impurities.
 - The plasma edge is not in direct contact with a solid material such as a limiter.
- Disadvantages: larger and more complex system and more expensive.